1	Judge Pechman						
2							
3	FILED						
4	LODGEDENTEREDRECEIVED						
5	FEB 20 2002						
6	WESTERN DISTRICT COURT BY WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY						
7	DEPUTY						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE						
10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR07-51P						
12	Plaintiff, v. PLEA AGREEMENT						
13							
14	VICKI LYNN OLSON,						
15	Defendant.						
16	The United States of America, by and through Jeffrey C. Sullivan, United States						
17	Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Carl Blackstone, Assistant						
18	United States Attorney for said District, Defendant, Vicki Lynn Olson, and her attorney,						
19	Jay Stansell, enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal						
20	Procedure 11(c):						
21	1. Waiver of Indictment. Defendant, having been advised of the right to be						
22	charged by Indictment, agrees to waive that right and enter pleas of guilty to the charges						
23	brought by the United States Attorney in an Information.						
24	2. The Charge(s). Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this						
25	matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter pleas of guilty to the						
26	following charges contained in the Information.						
27	a. Conspiracy, as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United States						
28	Code, Section 371; and						

of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00), a period of supervision

b. Count 2 (Procurement Fraud): imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100.00) special assessment. If Defendant receives a sentence of probation, the probationary period could be up to five (5) years. Defendant agrees that the special assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which she will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and she violates one or more of its conditions, she could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

Defendant understands that in addition to any term of imprisonment and/or fine that is imposed, the Court may order her to pay restitution to any victim of the offense, as required by law.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

- 5. Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, she knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:
 - a. The right to plead not guilty and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of her peers;

26

27

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Court will determine her applicable Sentencing Guidelines range

a.

at the time of sentencing;

23

24

25

26

27

28

	b.	After consideration of	the Sentencing Guid	lelines and the fac	ctors in		
18 U.S.C. 3553(a), the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, up to the							
maximum	term auth	orized by law;	· 1				

- c. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties or the United States Probation Department, or by any stipulations or agreements between the parties in this Plea Agreement; and
- d. Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.
- 7. <u>Ultimate Sentence</u>. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.
- 8. Restitution. Defendant shall make restitution in an amount to be determined by the Court at the time of sentencing. Said amount shall be due and payable immediately and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as proposed by the United States Probation Office and ordered by the Court.
- 9. <u>Statement of Facts</u>. The parties agree on the following facts. Defendant admits she is guilty of the charged offenses

COUNT ONE (CONSPIRACY)

At all relevant times:

- a. The Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") was an agency of the United States of America. The FAA is responsible for solicitation, award and oversight of procurement contracts entered by the FAA.
- b. VICKI LYNN OLSON was employed by the FAA as the manager of the Acquisition Management Branch in Renton, Washington. In this capacity OLSON was responsible for supervising FAA contracting officers who had the authority to award procurement contracts on behalf of the FAA. In this capacity OLSON also had access to contractor bid or proposal information and source selection information.

- d. On or about April 18, 2002, the FAA received responses to the SIR and determined that PCL Construction Services, Inc. ("PCL") and Donald B. Murphy Contractors, Inc. ("DBM") were qualified to submit proposals for construction of the ALSF project..
- e. On or about May 2, 2002, the FAA requested that PCL and DBM each submit a price proposal and a technical proposal for the ALSF Contract. The FAA Request for Offer ("RFO") provided that the ALSF Contract would be awarded on the basis of two criteria: technical and price. The FAA stated that the technical criterion was more important than price in making the contract award. However, the FAA specified that "price becomes increasingly more important as differences in Technical scores among offers decrease."
- f. On or about May 30, 2002, DBM and PCL submitted to the FAA technical and price proposals for the ALSF Contract. DBM's price proposal to construct the ALSF was

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

- g. DBM and PCL's respective technical proposals were evaluated by two FAA engineers. On May 31, 2002, the engineers completed their evaluation and concluded that there was no "significant technical difference" between the two proposals. As a result of this finding, the engineers recommended to the FAA contracting officer that the ALSF Contract be awarded "to the offeror with the lowest cost."
- h. On June 5, 2002, the FAA contracting officer, who was responsible for awarding the ALSF Contract, decided to solicit a best and final offer from DBM and PCL. The two companies were given until June 10, 2002, to submit their best and final offers.
- I. On June 5, 2002, DBM submitted a best and final offer to the FAA in which DBM clarified that they could complete the ALSF Contract within the time period specified in the contract. DBM did not change their initial price proposal of \$4,297,500.
- j. On June 10, 2002, PCL submitted a best and final offer to the FAA in which PCL decreased their price proposal by \$213,600 to a total price of \$4,348,200. DBM's price proposal was still the lower price by \$50,700
- k. On or about June 10, 2002, the FAA contracting officer prepared a written memorandum indicating that she intended to award the ALSF Contract to DBM because the DBM technical and price proposals provided the "best value" to the FAA.
- 1. On or about June 12, 2002, the defendant VICKI LYNN OLSON removed the FAA contracting officer from the ALSF contract and replaced her with another FAA contracting officer.
- m. On or about June 12, 2002, the new FAA contracting officer requested PCL to submit another price proposal. DBM was not given this same opportunity. On the same day, PCL submitted a "revised" price proposal which reduced their price by \$55,000 to a total price of \$4,293,200. This new price was now \$4,300 lower than DBM's best and final offer of June 5, 2002.

7

8

10

11

12

. 13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

p. The plan and purpose of the conspiracy was for defendant VICKI LYNN OLSON and others to provide a competitive advantage to PCL by disclosing to PCL source selection information for the ALSF Contract.

D. THE MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- q. Defendant VICKI LYNN OLSON and her co-conspirators used the following means, among others, to effect the object and purpose of the conspiracy:
- 1. It was a part of the conspiracy that the defendant encouraged PCL to submit a proposal for the ALSF contract and then, in concert with her co-conspirators, took steps to ensure that the competitive bidding process would be circumvented in order to ensure that the ALSF Contract would be awarded to PCL.
- 2. It was a further part of the conspiracy that the defendant made disparaging remarks about DBM and encouraged the FAA contracting officer and others to award the ALSF contract to PCL.
- 3. It was a further part of the conspiracy that the defendant prevented the original FAA contracting officer from awarding the ALSF contract to DBM by falsely stating that the contract could not be awarded because there were outstanding "real estate" issues which needed to be resolved before the contract could be awarded.

27

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

- 5. It was a further a part of the conspiracy that the defendant and a co-conspirator at the FAA provided PCL with confidential source selection information in order to ensure that PCL would be awarded the ALSF Contract. That source selection information included informing PCL that PCL was not the low bidder on the ALSF Contract and that PCL had to lower their price proposal by \$55,000 in order to be awarded the ALSF Contract. Additionally, the defendant told PCL the price differential between the DBM and PCL price proposals.
- 6. It was a further part of the conspiracy that PCL was awarded the ALSF Contract based on the fact that PCL had been provided with confidential source selection information thereby receiving an unfair competitive advantage over DBM.
- 7. It was a further part of the conspiracy that the defendant and/or her coconspirators took steps to conceal the nature of the conspiracy and the true reason as to
 why the ALSF Contract had been awarded to PCL. Those steps, included among other
 things, (1) falsely claiming that PCL's June 12, 2002 "revised price proposal" was based
 on the "late receipt of a subcontractor bid" when in fact the defendant and her coconspirators knew that PCL's "revised price proposal" was based solely on the fact that
 PCL had been provided with source selection information which had not been provided to
 DBM; (2) falsely stating to DBM that "no actions were taken to prevent" DBM from
 successfully bidding on the ALSF Contract and from successfully protesting a wrongful
 award to PCL.; and (3) making false statements to law enforcement agents in an effort to
 prevent detection of the conspiracy.

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

15

22

20

- r. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the object of the conspiracy, the defendant VICKI LYNN OLSON and her co-conspirators committed various overt acts in the Western District of Washington, including but not limited to the following:
- 1. In or about April or May 2002, the defendant encouraged PCL to submit a proposal for the ALSF Contract.
- 2. On or about May 30, 2002, the defendant either opened or caused others to open the respective price proposals submitted by DBM and PCL and then reviewed the price proposals.
- 3. In or about June 2002, the defendant encouraged the FAA contracting officer and the FAA project engineer to award the contract to PCL and to improperly credit PCL's proposal with a Value Engineering Proposal prior to the award of the ALSF Contract.
- 4. On or about June 12, 2002, the defendant and a co-conspirator at the FAA decided to remove the FAA contracting officer from the ALSF contract in order to prevent her from awarding the ALSF Contract to DBM.
- 5. On or about June 12, 2002, the defendant and a co-conspirator at the FAA decided to replace the original FAA contracting officer with the co-conspirator who would ensure that the ALSF Contract would be awarded to PCL.
- 6. On or about June 12, 2002, a co-conspirator at the FAA contacted PCL and advised them that PCL was not the low bidder on the ALSF Contract and that PCL needed to lower its price proposal by \$55,000 in order to be awarded the ALSF Contract.
- 7. On or about June 12, 2002, the defendant contacted PCL and advised them of the price differential between the DBM and PCL price proposals.
- 8. On or about June 12, 2002, PCL submitted a revised price proposal which reduced PCL's price proposal by \$55,000 and falsely claimed that the price reduction was based upon "the late receipt of a subcontractor bid."

7

8

9

10

- 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a.. On or about June 12, 2002, in the Western District of Washington, the defendant VICKI LYNN OLSON, an official of the United States, assisting with the award of a Federal agency procurement contract, knowingly disclosed to PCL source selection information before the award of a federal agency procurement contract, namely, the price differential between the price proposal submitted by PCL and DBM for the ALSF Contract, which constitutes a disclosure of the ranking of offerors developed by the FAA during the source selection process.

Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant recognizes the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all conduct committed by Defendant.

Defendant agrees that any charges to be dismissed before or at the time of sentencing were substantially justified in light of the evidence available to the United

11. Acceptance of Responsibility. The United States acknowledges that if Defendant qualifies for an acceptance of responsibility adjustment pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(a), and if the offense level is sixteen (16) or greater, her total offense level should be decreased by three (3) levels pursuant to USSG §§ 3E1.1(a) and (b), because Defendant has assisted the United States by timely notifying the authorities of her intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently. If the offense level is less than sixteen (16), then her total offense level should be decreased by two (2) levels because Defendant has clearly demonstrated acceptance of responsibility for her offense.

12. Cooperation

- a. Defendant shall cooperate completely and truthfully with law enforcement authorities in the investigation and prosecution of other individuals involved in criminal activity. Such cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, complete and truthful statements to law enforcement officers, as well as complete and truthful testimony, if called as a witness before a grand jury, or at any state or federal trial, retrial, or other judicial proceedings. Such cooperation may include him involvement in undercover activity, as directed and supervised by law enforcement authorities. Defendant acknowledges that this obligation to cooperate shall continue after Defendant has entered a guilty plea and sentence has been imposed, no matter what sentence Defendant receives; his failure to do so may constitute a breach of this Plea Agreement.
- b. Defendant understands the United States will tolerate no deception from him. If, in the estimation of the United States Attorney, information or testimony provided from the date of the Plea Agreement, proves to be untruthful or incomplete in any way, regardless of whether the untruthfulness was intended to help or hurt the United

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

- c. The United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington, in turn, agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any other offenses, other than crimes of violence, that Defendant may have committed in the Western District of Washington prior to the date of this Agreement about which: (1) the United States presently possesses information; or (2) Defendant provides information pursuant to this Agreement to cooperate with the authorities.
- d. The parties agree that information provided by Defendant in connection with this Plea Agreement shall not be used to determine his sentence, except to the extent described in USSG § 1B1.8.
- e. In exchange for her cooperation as described above, and conditioned upon her fulfillment of all provisions of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney agrees to advise the Probation Office and the Court of the extent and usefulness of Defendant's cooperation.
- f. Defendant agrees that her sentencing date may be delayed based on the United States' need for her continued cooperation, and agrees not to object to any continuances of her sentencing date sought by the United States.
- breaches this Plea Agreement, the United States may withdraw from this Plea Agreement and Defendant may be prosecuted for all offenses for which the United States has evidence. Defendant agrees not to oppose any steps taken by the United States to nullify this Plea Agreement, including the filing of a motion to withdraw from the Plea Agreement. Defendant also agrees that if she is in breach of this Plea Agreement, Defendant has waived any objection to the reinstitution of any charges in the Indictment that were previously dismissed or any additional charges that had not been prosecuted.

Defendant further understands that if, after the date of this Agreement, she should engage in illegal conduct, or conduct that is in violation of her conditions of release

for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the Pretrial Services Officer, Probation Officer or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to file additional charges against Defendant or to seek a sentence that takes such conduct into consideration. Such a sentence could include a sentencing enhancement under the United States Sentencing Guidelines or an Voluntariness of Plea. Defendant agrees that she has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter these pleas of Statute of Limitations. In the event this Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to: (1) 30 days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) 30 days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Completeness of Agreement. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties. This Agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 || Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor. Dated this 20th day of February, 2007. Defendant Attorney for Defendant Assistant United States Attorney